

Q&A *(Questions the presenters didn't have time to answer during the web clinic)*

Aside from LLMs, is Cochrane working on policies or guidelines for the use of Active Machine Learning (e.g., ASReview, Rayyan) for screening titles and abstracts?

The guidance will hopefully be applicable to a broad range of automation approaches i.e. not just limited to LLMs, though LLMs present some challenges specific to genAI.

Question re Prompt generation. Do you follow a particular framework or approach when developing prompts?

Prompt development is an inexact science at the moment. There is lots of advice online, along with lots of advice contradicting original advice. It is important to adopt a systematic approach to developing prompts, ensuring that the data that the prompts are evaluated against don't overlap with data that were used for prompt development.

Would Ai tools be useful for finding grey literature?

Potentially. It's not clear yet but it would seem feasible that AI could be used to help identify grey literature perhaps in similar way to, or to supplement, manual snowballing.

How would I access the guidance when it's out?

It will be available online for anyone to be able to access and download. We should also say that we envisage that the guidance will continue to evolve after its publication.

Would/Does Cochrane consider different performance requirements for these tools for targeted vs. systematic reviews?

That will no doubt be an important consideration and it seems likely, and sensible, that the performance requirements of tools relate to their intended use.

If we have missed any of the questions, please don't hesitate to contact us at support@cochrane.org